

Case Name:	
Case No.:	
Today's Date:	
Attorney:	

Deciding Whether To Recommend Mediation Or Other ADR To Your Client

Opposing party has expressed an interest in ADR.
Client has expressed an interest in ADR.
One side, either our client or opposing counsel or client, does not have a realistic view of the case.
Matter arose or is being prolonged because of emotional rather than legal issues.
A continued relationship between parties is necessary or worth preserving: an employee a contractor who still conducts business with the Postal Service a mailer
Speedy resolution is important to our clients.
Other matters involving the same party are pending and could be incorporated into a universal settlement.
The parties on one side of the case are unable to agree among themselves on a settlement position.
The parties might benefit from hearing the other side and talking together face-to-face.
One or both parties might be interested in relief that could best be worked out through face-to-face discussions, such as an apology or an agreement regarding future interaction.
The parties want to pre-empt a court-ordered ADR process (e.g., because of poor quality neutrals).
A neutral evaluation of the probable outcome could help the parties settle the case.
An opinion from a neutral fact finder on technical or complex factual issues might help the parties agree on settlement terms.